

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box: 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,605	03/01/2002	Shinn-Sheng Yu	67,200-523	1950
7590 11/28/2003			EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			SAGAR, KRIPA	
Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			1756	0
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany		10/086,605	YU, SHINN-SHENG	
	· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The ASAU INC DATE of this are many localism	Kripa Sagar	1756	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence address	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMU	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the strong will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 March 2002 .		
2a)□	· · · _ ·	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			is
Dispositi	on of Claims		,	
• "	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
	on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exan	oiner		
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/ar	<u></u>	oted to by the Examiner	
נשולטו	Applicant may not request that any objection t	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
11) 🗆 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required i			
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
· * S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International cee the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), and a second of the second	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ition).
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don			
Attachmen	-	-		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•

Application/Control Number: 10/086,605

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat.5700601 to Hasegawa et al.

Claims 1-10 recite a photomask with assist features and the shape, structure and disposition of the assist features.

Hasegawa teaches the elements of claims 1-10. These include an *isolated* contact (Fig.9), surrounded by assist features which are *identical in shape* to the contact and are *symmetrically* disposed around the main feature. They are *smaller* than

Application/Control Number: 10/086,605

Art Unit: 1756

the main pattern and *similar to each other*. The assist features *improve the depth of focus* of the main pattern (22;41-23;18). In one embodiment Hasegawa places *eight assist features* [cl.2,3] symmetrically [cl.4] around the main pattern (Fig.10) that are *substantially similar in shape* to each other [cl.6] and to the main pattern [cl.7], smaller and equidistant from the main pattern (23;5-18). Hasegawa teaches how to size the auxiliary pattern (21;37-43). In one embodiment the auxiliary pattern is 20% the size [cl.5] of the main pattern (20;46-49). The method of placing auxiliary features [cl.8,9,10] near isolated contacts(fig.9) and semi-dense and random contacts are taught (fig.7,8,12).

Thus Hasegawa teaches all the elements of claims 1-10.

Claims 16-20 recite a semiconductor device formed using a mask with assist features. No unique functions or features of the device are disclosed or claimed.

Hasegawa teaches a semiconductor device formed using a mask carrying assist features (25;33-43). The mask is similar to the instant claimed mask as shown above; the device fabricated by the cited reference is expected to function as well as the instant claimed device.

4. Claims 1-15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 6004699 to Yasuzato et al.

Yasuzato (1;10-20;19) teaches all the elements of the instant claims including forming assist features around a main pattern. The assist features meet all the recited limitations (Fig.8, 25). Fig.8 shows a *semi-dense pattern* which admits 8 assist features on all sides. The features *similar in shape* but *smaller* than the main feature and are

Application/Control Number: 10/086,605

Art Unit: 1756

designed not to print. They are arranged *equidistant* from the main pattern, are the *same size* and *symmetrically* placed. The main patterns are 0.2 mu.m. wide whereas the auxiliary patterns are 0.15 mu.m. (13;64-14;34). The reference teaches that OAI, when used with assist features surrounding an isolated feature, improves the contrast and depth of focus (abstract). This is similar to dense periodic patterns.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa in view of admitted prior art.

Claims 11-15 recite a method of making the above photomask for use with offaxis illumination.

Hasegawa teaches a method of making the photomasks of his invention (Figs. 11,13,18-22). It does not teach the use of OAI or designing masks for this purpose. However Applicant admits that use of OAI is known to improve the focus latitude of sparse contacts when flanked by assist-features (7;14-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OAI as admitted by Applicant with Hasegawa's mask

Page 5

because it is known in prior art that this exposure technique improves the process windows of dense and isolated features.

Conclusion

7. Applicant has (i) asserted that conventionally designed assist features do not result in clear definition (p.9;l.16-17) and (ii) stated that the improvement of the instant invention leads to clear definition of assist features and easy mask manufacturability (p.9;18-10;6). Applicant has not provided any data to support the first assertion. There are no differences between the instant invention and the cited references. The number and disposition of the assist features have not been shown to improve focus latitude, manufacturability. Applicant has not provided any comparative data to support the assertions made in the disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kripa Sagar whose telephone number is 703-605-4427. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

MARK F. HUFF

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

MH/ks